

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/777,953	02/07/2001		David L. Buchanan	740270-2662	740270-2662 5812	
22204	7590	07/07/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
NIXON PE 401 9TH ST			KIM, CHRIS	KIM, CHRISTOPHER S		
SUITE 900	KEE1, IV	N	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING	TON, DC	20004-2128	3752			

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	M					
Advisory Action	09/777,953	BUCHANAN ET AL.						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Christopher S. Kim	3752						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 28 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folioplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice o owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or					
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILE	OWITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date					
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because								
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a)☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		· · · μ						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for					
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(570) 50()					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	•	timely filed amenda	ent canceling					
the non-allowable claim(s).	mowable if Submitted in a Separate	, umery med amendir	lent canceling					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut hafara ar an the data of filing a N	Jotice of Annual will m	at he entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	s necessary					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation		,	•					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	Addition NOT the state of the control of the state of the							
11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application I	n condition for allowa	ince pecause:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Christophe (S. Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3752

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment recites newly presented limitations.